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The problem of intervertebral disc degeneration has been approached from many sides, from orthopedic surgery to molecular biology, and the scientific literature on the subject is particularly diverse. Perhaps as a result of this, there is no consensus on what “disc degeneration” actually is or how it should be distinguished from the physiologic processes of growth, aging, healing, and adaptive remodeling. We suggest that a precise definition of disc degeneration is long overdue. It would focus attention on which degenerative features are most likely to influence patients’ prognosis and which are the best targets for therapeutic interventions. It would help epidemiologists identify risk factors for the disease and suggest improved strategies for prevention. In addition, medicolegal experts would be better able to distinguish between a disease process and normal “constitutional” changes.

Recently, the relevant research literature has been thoroughly reviewed and summarized, although no definitions were suggested. At a subsequent symposium in Davos, Switzerland, in 2005, there was widespread agreement that a definition would be beneficial but no agreement on how it should be phrased. We suggest that the time is right to introduce a working definition of disc degeneration, one which will stimulate further discussion and lead to a formulation that will satisfy most researchers working in the field.

The purpose of the present article is to propose and justify a working definition of intervertebral disc degeneration, and show how it facilitates interpretation of the diverse research literature. Initial sections review the evidence concerning intervertebral disc functional anatomy, metabolism, aging, structural failure, and pain. This review is followed by an account of disc degeneration as suggested by animal models and epidemiology. Finally, 2 “interpretation” sections consider what disc degeneration is and what causes it.

Disc Functional Anatomy

Intervertebral discs are pads of fibrocartilage that resist spinal compression while permitting limited movements. They spread loading evenly on the vertebral bodies, even when the spine is flexed or extended. Individual lamellae of the anulus fibrosus consist primarily of collagen type I fibers passing obliquely between vertebral bodies, with orientation of the fibers being reversed in successive lamellae (Figure 1). The nucleus pulposus consists of a proteoglycan and water gel held together loosely by an irregular network of fine collagen type II and elastin fibers. The major proteoglycan of the disc is aggrecan,5,6
which, because of its high anionic glycosaminoglycan content (i.e., chondroitin sulfate and keratan sulfate), provides the osmotic properties needed to resist compression (Figure 2).

The internal mechanical functioning of an intervertebral disc can be studied by pulling a miniature pressure transducer through it. A young healthy disc behaves like a water bed, with the high water content of the nucleus and inner anulus enabling the tissue to act like a fluid (Figure 3A). Only the outermost anulus acts as a tensile “skin” to restrain the nucleus. With increasing age, disc water content decreases, especially in the nucleus, and most of the anulus then acts like a fibrous solid to resist compression directly (Figure 3B). In physically disrupted discs (Figure 3C), regions of fibrous tissue resist mechanical loading in a haphazard manner, and the hydrostatic nucleus is reduced or absent.

**Disc Metabolism**

**Disc Cells**

Cells in the anulus are elongated parallel to the collagen fibers, rather like fibroblasts. Cells in the nucleus are initially notochordal but are gradually replaced during childhood by rounded cells resembling the chondrocytes of articular cartilage. Anulus cells synthesize mostly collagen type I in response to deformation, whereas nucleus cells respond to hydrostatic pressure by synthesizing mostly proteoglycans and fine collagen type II fibrils. Cell density declines during growth, and in the adult is extremely low, especially in the nucleus. Disc cell biology has been reviewed recently.

**Metabolite Transport**

In adult discs, blood vessels are normally restricted to the outmost layers of the anulus. Metabolite transport is by diffusion, which is important for small molecules, and by bulk fluid flow, which is important for large molecules. Transport routes are shown in Figure 4. Low oxygen tension in the center of a disc leads to anaerobic metabolism, resulting in a high concentration of lactic acid and low pH. In vitro experiments show that a chronic lack of oxygen causes nucleus cells to become quiescent, whereas a chronic lack of glucose can kill them. Deficiencies in metabolite transport appear to limit both the density and metabolic activity of disc cells. As a result, discs have only a limited ability to recover from any metabolic or mechanical injury. Endplate permeability and, therefore, disc metabolite transport normally decrease during growth and aging, and yet increase in the presence of disc degeneration and following endplate damage. This is one essential difference between aging and degeneration.

**Growth and Adaptive Remodeling**

Disc cells synthesize their matrix and break down existing matrix by producing and activating degradative enzymes, including matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and “a disintegrin and metalloproteinase” (ADAMS). Molecular markers of matrix turnover are naturally most plentiful during growth but usually decline thereafter. The major structural changes to the disc occur during fetal and juvenile growth, when the nucleus changes...
in consistency from a translucent fluid to a soft amorphous tissue, caused mainly by an increase in collagen content.

The proteoglycan content of the disc is maximal in the young adult and declines thereafter, presumably because of proteolysis. Disc cells appear to adapt the properties of their matrix to suit prevailing mechanical demands, although the low cell density and lack of a blood supply ensure that changes are not as rapid or pronounced as in adjacent vertebrae. Adaptive remodeling probably contributes to the large variation in compressive strength of adult discs, which ranges from 2.8 to 13.0 kN when they are tested in a manner that causes failure in the disc rather than the adjacent vertebra.

**Disc Healing**

Injured discs show increased levels of catabolic cytokines, increased MMP activity, and scar formation, especially in the vicinity of anular tears. They also show evidence of renewed matrix turnover and a more variable range of collagen fibril diameters. However, gross injuries to a disc never fully heal. Scalpel cuts in the outer anulus fill with granulation tissue, with only the outer few millimeters being bridged by scar tissue. Anular tears are not remodeled as in bone, presumably because the sparse cell population is unable to break down the large collagen fiber bundles of the anulus and replace them with new. Collagen turnover time in articular cartilage is approximately 100 years and could be even longer in the disc. Proteoglycan turnover is faster, possibly 20 years, and some regeneration of nucleus pulposus is possible in young animals. Injuries that affect the inner anulus or endplate decompress the nucleus, and healing processes are then overtaken by severe degenerative changes.

### Disc Aging

**Biochemical Changes**

Proteoglycan fragmentation starts during childhood, and with increasing age, the overall proteoglycan and water content of the disc decreases, especially in the nucleus. There is a corresponding increase in collagen content, a tendency for fine type II collagen fibrils in the inner anulus to be replaced by type I fibers as the anulus encroaches on the nucleus, and for type I fibers throughout the disc to become coarser. Loss of proteoglycan fragments from the disc is a slow process owing to the entrapment of the nucleus by the fibrous anulus and the cartilage endplates of the vertebrae. As long as the proteoglycan fragments remain entrapped in the disc, they can fulfill a functional role similar to that of the intact proteoglycan. Reduced matrix turnover in older discs enables collagen molecules and fibrils to become increasingly cross-linked with each other, and existing cross-links become more stable. In addition, reactions between collagen and glucose lead to “nonenzymatic glycation” (extra
cross-links that give old discs their characteristic yellow-brown appearance). Increased cross-linking inhibits matrix turnover and repair in old discs, encouraging the retention of damaged macromolecules and probably leading to reduced tissue strength.

**Histologic Changes**

During early childhood, the blood supply to the vertebral endplate decreases, and microstructural clefts and tears become common by the age of 15 years, especially in the nucleus and endplate. Cell density decreases throughout growth, and from skeletal maturity onward, there is a steadily increasing incidence of structural defects extending into the anulus. The nucleus pulposus tends to condense into several fibrous lumps, separated from each other and from the cartilage endplate by softer material. Sequential histologic changes across 9 decades have recently been classified. Generally, these changes affect the endplate first, then the nucleus, and finally, the anulus, and different spinal levels are affected to a similar extent.

**Metabolic Changes**

Matrix synthesis decreases steadily throughout life but sometimes increases again in old and severely disrupted discs. Reduced synthesis is partly attributable to decreased cell density, although proteoglycan synthesis rates per cell also decrease. Cell proliferation can occur locally in association with fissures and increased MMP activity. Age-related changes in the types of collagens and MMPs synthesized suggest that cell phenotype can change, possibly in response to altered matrix stress distributions (Figure 3).

**Functional Changes**

With increasing age, the hydrostatic nucleus becomes smaller and decompressed, and so more of the compressive load-bearing is taken by the anulus (Figure 3B). To fulfill this functional demand, the inner anulus of the young adult possesses a relatively high proteoglycan content. However, with increasing age, the proteoglycan content decreases, and the anulus becomes stiffer and weaker. Disc height does not show a major decrease with age, although degenerative changes can cause the anulus to collapse in some old discs (see later).

**Disc Structural Failure**

**Anulus Tears**

There are 3 types of tears that can be distinguished: circumferential tears or “delaminations,” peripheral rim tears, and radial fissures (Figure 5). They become increasingly common after the age of 10 years, especially in the lower lumbar spine, and reach a peak in middle age. Circumferential tears may represent the effects of interlaminar shear stresses, possibly occurring from compressive stress concentrations in older discs (Figure 3). Peripheral rim tears are more frequent in the anterior anulus and may be associated with bony outgrowths. Mechanical and histologic considerations suggest that they are related to trauma. Radial fissures progress outward from the nucleus, usually posteriorly or posterolaterally, and this process can be simulated in cadaveric discs by cyclic loading in bending and compression. Radial fissures are associated with nucleus “degeneration,” but it is not clear which comes first. The 3 types of anulus tear probably evolve independently of age and each other.

**Disc Prolapse**

When radial fissures allow gross migration of nucleus relative to anulus, to the extent that the disc periphery is affected, then the disc can be said to be herniated, or prolapsed. Depending on the extent of nucleus migration, the disc herniation may result in protrusion, extrusion, or sequestration of the nuclear material. Disc prolapse can be simulated in cadaveric discs by combined loading in bending and compression, with either one component exceeding physiologic limits, or as a result of intense repetitive loading. Mechanically induced prolapse (Figure 6E) occurs most readily in discs aged 30–40 years, which presumably still have a fluid nucleus and an anulus starting to become weakened by age. “Severely degenerated” discs do not prolapse in the laboratory, presumably because the nucleus is no longer able to exert a hydrostatic pressure to tension the anulus. In living people, prolapsed disc tissue consists primarily of nucleus pulposus displaced down a radial fissure.
bulge into the nucleus cavity (Figure 6C).\(^{35,57}\) If nucleus pulposus herniates through a damaged endplate, then subsequent calcification can create a “Schmorl’s node.”

**Internal Disc Disruption\(^ {58}\)**

Collapse of the inner anulus into the nucleus is a common feature of elderly discs (Figures 6C, D), with the anterior anulus being affected more than the posterior.\(^ {59,60}\) It could be caused by nucleus decompression following endplate fracture, as described previously. In many elderly discs, the cartilage endplate becomes detached from underlying bone,\(^ {60}\) presumably because the high internal pressure that presses it against the bone in young discs has been lost.

**Disc Narrowing, Radial Bulging, and Vertebral Osteophytes**

These 3 features are closely associated with one another and with the term “spondylosis” (Figure 7). With increasing age, the nucleus tends to bulge into the vertebral bodies. Nucleus pressure is reduced\(^ {61,62}\) and increased vertical loading of the anulus\(^ {63}\) causes it to bulge radially outward,\(^ {63}\) and sometimes inward. Severe changes are accompanied by a marked loss of nucleus pressure\(^ {62}\) and collapse of anulus height (Figure 6D). In effect, the disc behaves like a “flat tire.”\(^ {63}\) It is anulus height that determines the separation of adjacent neural arches, and anulus collapse/bulging in old discs can lead to more than 50% of the compressive force on the lumbar spine being resisted by the neural arch.\(^ {64}\) This effect probably explains why narrowed discs are associated with osteoarthritis in the apophyseal joints and with osteophytes (Figure 7) around the margins of the vertebral bodies.\(^ {65}\)

**Discogenic Pain**

The posterior anulus and its adhering longitudinal ligament are supplied by the sinuvertebral nerve, a mixed autonomic and somatic nerve believed capable of nociception, whereas the anterior and lateral regions are supplied by autonomic nerves.\(^ {66}\) Nociceptive nerve fibers normally penetrate only the outermost 1–3 mm of anulus\(^ {67,68}\) but have been reported to progress in toward the nucleus in the anterior regions of painful and severely disrupted discs.\(^ {67}\) The bony vertebral endplate has a similar density of innervation.\(^ {69}\)

Pain provocation studies associate severe back pain with relatively innocuous mechanical stimulation of the outer posterior anulus and endplate.\(^ {70}\) Painful discs are always structurally disrupted\(^ {67}\) and show irregular stress concentrations.\(^ {71}\) They appear to become sensitized to mechanical loading, and animal experiments have confirmed that contact with nucleus pulposus can lower
nerve stimulation thresholds in adjacent tissues.72 Pain sensitization is of most functional significance when it occurs in the outer anulus fibrosus because that is where the highest stress concentrations are found in “degenerated” discs (Figure 3C).

Features of discs most closely associated with pain include disc prolapse,49 disc narrowing,73,74 radial fissures,73,75 especially when they reach the disc exterior and “leak,”76 and internal disc disruption, including inward collapse of the anulus.77 More variably related to pain are endplate fracture and Schmorl nodes,78 and disc bulging.49,73,78,79 Disc signal intensity on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has little if any relationship to degeneration, even though it enhances metabolite transport, and the presence of notochordal cells in the nucleus.80 These differences can result in an increased propensity for disc repair.

Surgical disruption of the endplate or anulus leads inexorably to “degenerative” changes throughout the disc.37,81 Perforation of the endplate from the side of the vertebral body causes nucleus decompression, proteoglycan loss, and internal disruption of the anulus.57 The anulus disruption model, which simulates a peripheral rim tear, causes subsequent changes in the nucleus and endplate,10,81,82 and shows that degenerative changes, unlike aging, need not start in the nucleus. Compressive loading of rodent tail discs can result in cell death, impaired matrix synthesis, and disruption of the anulus and vertebral body.83–85 Compression without immobilization affects disc cell metabolism and matrix composition but does not lead to any architectural degenerative changes.86 Injecting cement into the vertebral body to block nutrient transport through the endplate does not lead to disc degeneration within 1 year.87

The time span for detectable degenerative changes to occur ranges from 1 week for mice88 to many months for pigs and sheep.57,81 For comparison, in human adolescents, it takes several years for disc “degeneration” to become apparent after endplate injury,89 and narrowing in adult human discs progresses at approximately 3% per year.74

■ Disc Degeneration: Animal Models

Animal models provide a reliable guide to biologic processes within degenerating discs because they preserve the complex mechanical and biochemical environment of disc cells. However, they are less useful for investigating how degenerative changes are initiated in human beings because the interventions (or genetic defects) may not represent common occurrences in living people. Small animal models of disc degeneration have some additional limitations relating to their increased cell density, improved metabolite transport, and the presence of notochordal cells in the nucleus.80 These differences can result in an increased propensity for disc repair.

The animal models of disc degeneration described previously support this inference. Inadequate nutrition may predispose to disc degeneration by compromising a disc’s ability to respond to increased loading, or injury. Certain markers of altered cell metabolism, such as increased cytokine and MMP activity,100,101 could be used as a definition. They are associated with structural defects in the disc,27 but currently available markers are unable to differentiate degeneration from growth, adap-
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tive remodeling, and healing. Logically, to suggest that cytokines or proteases “cause” disc degeneration is equivalent to blaming war on soldiers! Cytokines and proteases are merely agents of change, rather than causes. The very complexity of connective tissue metabolism suggests that degeneration could occur from a failure to regulate specific proteinase activities. However, it could equally be argued that the redundancy inherent in such a complex system (cells can achieve a given effect by many different methods) ensures that the system is very robust.

Aging causes inevitable and progressive changes in disc matrix composition, which resemble changes in other aging collagenous tissues. Biochemical changes influence tissue stiffness and strength, and some degraded matrix molecules can impair disc cell metabolism. In addition, some matrix changes are detectable in vivo using MRI, manifesting as a “dark disc.” However, age-related changes in matrix composition are inevitable, start soon after birth and are unrelated to pain. Age-related reductions in endplate vascularity and disc cell density could simply reflect necessary adaptations to increased mechanical loading at the onset of ambulation, and reduced metabolite transport in a growing disc. The microstructural clefts and tears that appear increasingly during growth may possibly lead to more extensive disruption in later life, but so long as they remain small, they appear to have little effect on the internal mechanical function of the disc.

In addition, they affect all spinal levels to a similar extent, unlike macroscopic changes that occur mostly between L4 and S1.

Ingrowth of nerves and blood vessels is an important feature of structurally disrupted discs, and appears to be directly, though variably, associated with pain. Ingrowth could be facilitated by the loss of hydrostatic pressure that characterizes internal regions of intact discs (Figure 3) and that would collapse hollow capillaries. Reduced nucleus pressure almost exclusively decreases, which is the opposite of what is required for the disc. Similarly, pathologic radial bulging of a disc progresses because compressive forces act to collapse the bulging lamellae. Biologic mechanisms of progression depend on the fact that a healthy intervertebral disc equalizes pressure within it, whereas a disrupted disc shows high concentrations of compressive stress in the anulus, and a decompressed nucleus (Figures 3C, 8). Reduced nucleus pressure impairs proteoglycan synthesis, so the aggrecan and water content of a decompressed nucleus would progressively decrease, which is the opposite of what is required to restore normal disc function.

Similarly, the high stress concentrations generated in the anulus after endplate damage would also be expected to inhibit matrix synthesis and increase production of MMPs. Therefore, in both regions of the disc, cells would behave inappropriately because structural disruption has uncoupled their local mechanical environment from the overall loading of the disc. Like a collapsed house, a disrupted disc can no longer perform its function, even though its constituent parts remain. Cellular attempts at repair become futile, not because the cells are deficient, but because their local mechanical environment has become abnormal. In this way, structural disruption of the disc progresses by physical and biologic methods, and the process represents degeneration rather than healing.

Defining disc degeneration in terms of structural failure allows all other features of degenerated discs to be considered as predisposing factors for, or consequences of, the disruption. Genetic inheritance and impaired metabolite transport make the disc matrix physically weaker and, so, more vulnerable to injury; so too can age-related changes in collagen cross-linking, and loss of water and adjacent tissue, so the damage is likely to spread. This principle explains crack propagation in engineering materials and why peripheral rim tears in animal discs progress in toward the nucleus. Similarly, pathologic radial bulging of a disc progresses because compressive forces act to collapse the bulging lamellae. Biologic mechanisms of progression depend on the fact that a healthy intervertebral disc equalizes pressure within it, whereas a disrupted disc shows high concentrations of compressive stress in the anulus, and a decompressed nucleus (Figures 3C, 8). Reduced nucleus pressure impairs proteoglycan synthesis, so the aggrecan and water content of a decompressed nucleus would progressively decrease, which is the opposite of what is required to restore normal disc function.

Similarly, the high stress concentrations generated in the anulus after endplate damage would also be expected to inhibit matrix synthesis and increase production of MMPs. Therefore, in both regions of the disc, cells would behave inappropriately because structural disruption has uncoupled their local mechanical environment from the overall loading of the disc. Like a collapsed house, a disrupted disc can no longer perform its function, even though its constituent parts remain. Cellular attempts at repair become futile, not because the cells are deficient, but because their local mechanical environment has become abnormal. In this way, structural disruption of the disc progresses by physical and biologic methods, and the process represents degeneration rather than healing.

Defining disc degeneration in terms of structural failure allows all other features of degenerated discs to be considered as predisposing factors for, or consequences of, the disruption. Genetic inheritance and impaired metabolite transport make the disc matrix physically weaker and, so, more vulnerable to injury; so too can age-related changes in collagen cross-linking, and loss of water and adjacent tissue, so the damage is likely to spread. This principle explains crack propagation in engineering materials and why peripheral rim tears in animal discs progress in toward the nucleus. Similarly, pathologic radial bulging of a disc progresses because compressive forces act to collapse the bulging lamellae. Biologic mechanisms of progression depend on the fact that a healthy intervertebral disc equalizes pressure within it, whereas a disrupted disc shows high concentrations of compressive stress in the anulus, and a decompressed nucleus (Figures 3C, 8). Reduced nucleus pressure impairs proteoglycan synthesis, so the aggrecan and water content of a decompressed nucleus would progressively decrease, which is the opposite of what is required to restore normal disc function.

Similarly, the high stress concentrations generated in the anulus after endplate damage would also be expected to inhibit matrix synthesis and increase production of MMPs. Therefore, in both regions of the disc, cells would behave inappropriately because structural disruption has uncoupled their local mechanical environment from the overall loading of the disc. Like a collapsed house, a disrupted disc can no longer perform its function, even though its constituent parts remain. Cellular attempts at repair become futile, not because the cells are deficient, but because their local mechanical environment has become abnormal. In this way, structural disruption of the disc progresses by physical and biologic methods, and the process represents degeneration rather than healing.

Defining disc degeneration in terms of structural failure allows all other features of degenerated discs to be considered as predisposing factors for, or consequences of, the disruption. Genetic inheritance and impaired metabolite transport make the disc matrix physically weaker and, so, more vulnerable to injury; so too can age-related changes in collagen cross-linking, and loss of water and adjacent tissue, so the damage is likely to spread. This principle explains crack propagation in engineering materials and why peripheral rim tears in animal discs progress in toward the nucleus. Similarly, pathologic radial bulging of a disc progresses because compressive forces act to collapse the bulging lamellae. Biologic mechanisms of progression depend on the fact that a healthy intervertebral disc equalizes pressure within it, whereas a disrupted disc shows high concentrations of compressive stress in the anulus, and a decompressed nucleus (Figures 3C, 8). Reduced nucleus pressure impairs proteoglycan synthesis, so the aggrecan and water content of a decompressed nucleus would progressively decrease, which is the opposite of what is required to restore normal disc function.

Similarly, the high stress concentrations generated in the anulus after endplate damage would also be expected to inhibit matrix synthesis and increase production of MMPs. Therefore, in both regions of the disc, cells would behave inappropriately because structural disruption has uncoupled their local mechanical environment from the overall loading of the disc. Like a collapsed house, a disrupted disc can no longer perform its function, even though its constituent parts remain. Cellular attempts at repair become futile, not because the cells are deficient, but because their local mechanical environment has become abnormal. In this way, structural disruption of the disc progresses by physical and biologic methods, and the process represents degeneration rather than healing.
proteoglycan from the nucleus. Increased levels of cytokines and MMPs probably reflect the initial features of an attempted repair response to injury, as in other connective tissues, and they could be triggered by the abnormal matrix stresses which follow structural disruption (Figure 8). However, because of impaired matrix synthesis, subsequent repair is never achieved. Transport of catabolic mediators within the disc would also be boosted by the presence of gross fissures, thereby propagating matrix damage. Finally, ingrowth of blood vessels and nerves probably represent a late consequence of altered mechanics and biochemistry in severely degenerated discs. Therefore, defining disc degeneration in terms of structural failure leads to a simple conceptual framework, which incorporates most known features of degenerated discs. It also warns that therapeutic attempts to manipulate disc cell physiology may prove futile unless the cells’ mechanical environment is also corrected.

This definition is also consistent with the 4 or 5-point scales conventionally used to grade macroscopic “disc degeneration.” The first point on these scales refers to young and intact discs, while the final point corresponds to end-stage degeneration, typified by a collapse of disc height (Figure 6D). These scales are exercises in “pattern recognition,” and although useful, they do not explain or define disc degeneration. Previous definitions of disc degeneration are compatible with the definition proposed here: “mechanical damage which . . . results in a pattern of morphologic and histologic changes”; and “sluggish adaptation to gravity loading followed by obstructed healing.” Epidemiologic studies using MRI necessarily equate disc degeneration with associated structural changes.

An extensive review of nomenclature made clear distinctions between “pathologic” and “age-related” changes in discs, and included major structural changes such as radial fissures and disc narrowing in the former category. Referring to tendon degeneration, Riley et al. suggest “an active, cell-mediated process that may result from a failure to regulate specific MMP activities in response to repeated injury or mechanical strain.” There is a growing consensus that “degeneration” involves aberrant cell-mediated responses to progressively deteriorating circumstances in their surrounding matrix.

Therefore, we propose the following definitions. The process of disc degeneration is an aberrant, cell-mediated response to progressive structural failure. A degenerate disc is one with structural failure combined with accelerated or advanced signs of aging. (The second half of this definition distinguishes a degenerate disc from one that has just been injured, and the reference to “aging” avoids the practical problem of identifying specific cell-mediated responses to structural failure.) Early degenerative changes should refer to accelerated age-related changes in a structurally intact disc. Degenerative disc disease should be applied to a degenerated disc, which is also painful. This last definition is consistent with the widespread use of the word disease to denote something that can cause distress or dis-ease. Manifestations of structural failure such as radial fissures, disc prolapse, endplate damage, internal or external collapse of the anulus, and disc narrowing can themselves be defined in pragmatic terms as is usual in the epidemiologic and radiologic literature. Cell-mediated responses to structural failure can be regarded as the “final common pathway” of the disease process.

### Interpretation: What Causes Disc Degeneration?

The aforementioned definitions simplify the issue of causality. Plainly, excessive mechanical loading causes a disc to degenerate by disrupting its structure and precipitating a cascade of nonreversible cell-mediated responses leading to further disruption. As discussed previously, and in detail elsewhere, cadaveric experiments and mathematical models show how various combinations of compression, bending, and torsion can cause all the major structural features of disc degeneration, including endplate defects, radial fissures, radial bulging, disc prolapse, and internal collapse of the anulus. Injury or wear-and-tear “fatigue” loading can create damage. Animal experiments confirm that structural disruption to disc or endplate always leads to cell-mediated degenerative changes.

Although we suggest that mechanical loading precipitates degeneration, the most important cause of degeneration could be the various processes that weaken a disc before disruption, or that impair its healing response. The combined effects of an unfavorable inheritance, middle age, inadequate metabolite transport, and loading history appear to weaken some discs to such an extent that physical disruption follows some minor incident. A common example is that of disc herniation following a cough or sneeze. It could be argued that such a weakened disc should be considered degenerated, even if it remains structurally sound. However, a disc is unlikely to become painful until it becomes disrupted, so there is little to be gained by anticipating future events and applying the term “degeneration” before this crucial nonreversible event actually occurs. As suggested previously, accelerated biochemical or cellular events in a structurally sound disc could be designated “early degenerative changes” to distinguish them from changes that are entirely typical of the disc’s age. The multifactorial nature of disc weakening suggests that, from a medicolegal standpoint, all discs are “vulnerable” to a greater or lesser extent, and the vulnerability can only be gauged from the violence, or otherwise, required to disrupt the disc and initiate degeneration.

### Conclusions

The process of disc degeneration should be defined as an aberrant, cell-mediated response to progressive structural failure. Definitions of a degenerated disc and early degenerative changes should also refer to structural failure, whereas degenerative disc disease should apply to a degenerated disc, which is also painful. The underlying cause of disc degeneration is tissue weakening occurring primarily from genetic inheritance, aging, nutri-
tional compromise, and loading history. The precipitating cause is structural disruption occurring from injury or fatigue failure.

Key Points

- Intervertebral disc degeneration needs to be defined for scientific and medicolegal reasons.
- We propose the following working definition to stimulate further discussion: disc degeneration is an aberrant cell-mediated response to progressive structural failure.
- Disc structural failure is irreversible, always progresses by physical and biologic mechanisms, and is closely associated with mechanical dysfunction and pain.
- Genetic inheritance, age, inadequate metabolite transport, and loading history can weaken discs to such an extent that structural failure occurs during the activities of daily living.
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